

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/837,198	04/19/2001	Nobuyoshi Nakajima	2091-0239P-SP	1185
2292 BIRCH STEV	7590 03/28/2007 ART KOLASCH & BIR	CH	EXAMINER	
PO BOX 747	PO BOX 747		HENDERSON, ADAM	
FALLS CHUI	RCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2622	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
		•	03/28/2007	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/837,198	NAKAJIMA ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	,
i	Adam L. Henderson	2622	

	Adam L. Henderson	2622					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 01 March 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.							
1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:							
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date							
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE 06.07(f).	g date of the final rejecti E FIRST REPLY WAS F	on. ILED WITHIN				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL							
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th					
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo 	nsideration and/or search (see NO w);	TE below);					
 (c) \infty They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or (d) \infty They present additional claims without canceling a second content of the content o			the issues for				
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).							
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		empliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).				
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling theon-allowable claim(s).							
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-24 Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		Il be entered and an o	explanation of				
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE							
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	d sufficient reasons why the affidate	vit or other evidence i	s necessary and				
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe y and was not earlier presented. S	al and/or appellant fa See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(ils to provide a 1).				
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	intry is below or attac	nea.				
 The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See Continuation Sheet. 	t does NOT place the application i	n condition for allowa	nce because:				
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	·					

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant appears to contend that Matsumoto (US Patent 6,229,566) fails to disclose the claimed recommended composition data, however as previously explained Matsumoto discloses displaying the images in a preset array (column 10 lines 33-42), this preset array is equivalent to the claimed recommended composition data. Further applicant states on page 17 of the response that: "the recommended composition data displayed on the display is used to guide the user of the digital camera while obtaining the image with the photographing means." However this is never claimed, what is claimed is: "display means for displaying a recommended composition image represented by the desired recommended composition data set in superposition on an image represented by the image data obtained by the photographing means" (claim 5). The image data claimed is not required to be image data obtained "while obtaining the image with the photographing means" as alledged. The image data is only required to have been obtained at some point by the photographing means and thus can be any image ever taken by the photographing means.

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER